

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/665,339	09/19/2003	Leonid B. Glebov	UCF-397CIP	7045	
23717	7590 02/27/2006		EXAMINER		
	CES OF BRIAN S STEIN RD AVENUE	ANGEBRANNDT, MARTIN J			
COCOA, FL			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			1756		
				D. TT. 14. 11. TD. 00.0000000	

DATE MAILED: 02/27/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)				
			339	GLEBOV ET AL.				
Office Action Summary		Examin	er	Art Unit				
		Martin J	. Angebranndt	1756				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	ation appears on t	he cover sheet wi	th the correspondence ad	Idress			
WHIC - Extenditer - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA Insigns of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commun of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statu- tre to reply within the set or extended period for reply with reply received by the Office later than three months after an ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ILING DATE OF 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no nication. utory period will apply and ill, by statute, cause the a	THIS COMMUNIC event, however, may a rewill expire SIX (6) MON pplication to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this can an annual state of this can be cannot	,			
Status								
1)[\inf	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <i>12/19/03 & 9/</i>	19/03					
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>12/19/03 & 9/19/03</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
<u> </u>	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
٠/١	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims	·						
	4) Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application.							
, —	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	☑ Claim(s) is/are allowed. ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are rejected.							
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
· · · · ·	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	on and/or election	requirement.					
	on Papers		4					
	•	Funcian						
′=	The specification is objected to by the			lahinakadka hukha Cumu	-:			
10)凶	The drawing(s) filed on 19 December 2			·	niner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objecti							
441	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	•	•	, , ,	• •			
11)[_]	The oath or declaration is objected to t	by the Examiner. I	Note the attached	Office Action or form Pi	O-152.			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority de 2. Certified copies of the priority de 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation see the attached detailed Office action	ocuments have be ocuments have be f the priority docur al Bureau (PCT R	een received. een received in A ments have been ule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National	Stage			
2) Notic 3) Infon	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTo- mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Port of No(s)/Mail Date 9/19/03.	-	Paper No(s	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTC	D-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/665,339 Page 2

Art Unit: 1756

1. The applicant should not merely photocopy the PTO-892 or an old PTO-1449 and submit

it in lieu of a proper PTO-1449, which has not been previously initialed.

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the

subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claims 1-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for

failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as

the invention.

In claims 1,13 and 23, the step of exposure with ionizing radiation should include a

recitation of the formation of color centers, the step of visible light exposure should also include

a recitation of the bleaching of the centers and a holographic pattern of the visible light and the

restoring step should likely indicate a cooling process. (please review the specification for

congruency).

In claims 15-22, this is an apparatus, not an optical element.

In claim 25, what is a mux/demux device?

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on

sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United

States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 13 and 15-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being fully anticipated by Gaissinsky et al. '509.

See example 4, which makes a trademark by exposing a glass blank to 530 nm NdYAG followed by heating, followed by simultaneously 532 and 351 nm exposure, heating and cooling. [0114-0115].

An image is an optical article.

7. Claims 1-5,10-13, 15-21 and 23-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being fully anticipated by Bukharev et al., "Recording of holograms on radiation color centers in glass", Pis'ma v Zhurnal Tekhnicheskoi Fiziki Vol. 1(21) pp. 975-7 (1975)(Abstract only).

The abstract describes the exposure of a color center forming glass to gamma or UV radiation to form color centers, followed by the decolorization using 632.8 nm interferometric exposure to form a hologram followed by heating.

8. Claims 15-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being fully anticipated by Araujo et al. '404.

Araujo et al. '404 teaches the heating of the glasses to 450-650 degrees C is disclosed in table I and the use of mercury arc lamps as the light darkening source and various lasers including krypton ion lasers operating at 480, 531 and 570 nm as the bleaching light source is taught in table II. The darkening of the glass is disclosed as causing color center formation

Application/Control Number: 10/665,339

Art Unit: 1756

(9/56-10/16). The treatment of the bistable photochromic glass to make the images stable is disclosed. (16/61-17/11).

An image is an optical article.

9. Claims 1-10-12,13,15-21 and 23-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Araujo et al. '404, in view of Bukharev et al., "Recording of holograms on radiation color centers in glass", Pis'ma v Zhurnal Tekhnicheskoi Fiziki Vol. 1(21) pp. 975-7 (1975)(Abstract only) and Glebov et al. "Photoinduced processes in photothermorefractive glasses", Proc. 18th Int. Congr. Glass pp. 1151-1156 (1998).

Glebov et al. "Photoinduced processes in photothermorefractive glasses", Proc. 18th Int. Congr. Glass pp. 1151-1156 (1998) describes a glass is described composed of sodium, zinc, aluminum, and silicon oxides doped with cerium, silver, fluorine and bromine which is prepared in the manner described in the experimental section. Figure 4 evidences diffraction efficiency of approximately 95% for a PTR glass which has been exposed at approximately 0.5 J/cm² (500 mJ/cm²) Figure 5 evidences increased refractive index modulation as a function of the duration of heating for an exposure of 64 mJ/cm² with heating at 520 degrees for up to 200 minutes. The examiner notes that figure 5 evidences a 275% increase in refractive index modulation at 200 minutes, compared with 50 minutes. The examiner notes that the first data point of figure 4 seems to correspond to the second data point of figure 5 and that one expects that the diffraction efficiency of the 64 mJ/cm² exposure with a heating of 200 minutes at 520 degrees C would have a high diffraction efficiency similar to that achieved by the higher exposures with heating for a shorter duration. The use of exposures in the range of 50mJ/cm² to 5J/cm² is disclosed on the second page. The use of DTA analysis of all the samples and the annealing of the variously

Application/Control Number: 10/665,339

Art Unit: 1756

processed samples is disclosed on the second page. Note that the disadvantages of references 1 and 2 apply only to the processing of the materials.(first page)

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify the Araujo et al. '404 by using the disclosed lasers to form a bleached holographic pattern in the color centers based upon the teachings of of Bukharev et al., "Recording of holograms on radiation color centers in glass", Pis'ma v Zhurnal Tekhnicheskoi Fiziki Vol. 1(21) pp. 975-7 (1975)(Abstract only) and further to stabilize the resulting holographic images through the thermal treatment as taught by Glebov et al. "Photoinduced processes in photothermorefractive glasses", Proc. 18th Int. Congr. Glass pp. 1151-1156 (1998), who also teaches that holograms in PTR materials such as those of Araujo et al. '404 are desirable.

10. Claims 1-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Araujo et al. '404, in view of Bukharev et al., "Recording of holograms on radiation color centers in glass", Pis'ma v Zhurnal Tekhnicheskoi Fiziki Vol. 1(21) pp. 975-7 (1975)(Abstract only) and Glebov et al. "Photoinduced processes in photothermorefractive glasses", Proc. 18th Int. Congr. Glass pp. 1151-1156 (1998), further in view of IBM Tech. Discl. Bull., Vol 31(3) pp. 18-21 (08/1988).

IBM Tech. Discl. Bull., Vol 31(3) pp. 18-21 (08/1988) teaches the use of angularly multiplexed holograms (those with two or more holograms stored therein at different angles), where the angular selectivity is such that tilting the hologram result in the light being diffracted in a different direction (see figures 2a and 2b)

In addition to the basis provided above, the examiner holds that it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify the teachings of Araujo et al. '404 combined with Bukharev et al., "Recording of holograms on radiation color centers in glass", Pis'ma v Zhurnal

Application/Control Number: 10/665,339

Art Unit: 1756

Tekhnicheskoi Fiziki Vol. 1(21) pp. 975-7 (1975)(Abstract only) and Glebov et al. "Photoinduced processes in photothermorefractive glasses", Proc. 18th Int. Congr. Glass pp. 1151-1156 (1998) to form any holograms known to be useful, including those disclosed by IBM Tech. Discl. Bull., Vol 31(3) pp. 18-21 (08/1988) with a reasonable expectation of success.

11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Seward, "Thermally darkenable photochromic glasses", J. Appl. Phys., Vol. 46(2) pp. 689-694 (1975), Araujo '765 (6/1-12), Pierson et al. '318 (throughout), Gaissinsky et al. '626, Araujo et al. '405 and Yokota, "Color centers in alkali silicate glasses cotnaing alkaline earth ions", Vol. 101(2) pp. 522-525 (1956), Anikin et al., J. Noncryst. Sol., Vol. 34(3) pp. 393-403 (abstract only), Bukharaev, et al., Physica Status Solidi A: Appl. Research Vol. 50(2) pp. 711-716 (1978)(abstract only), Willaims, "Permanent photowritten optical gratings in irradiated silicate glasses", Opt. Let.., Vol. 17(7) pp. 532-534 (1992) and Glebov et al., Doklady Akademii Nauk SSSR vol. 312(4) pp. 852-854 (1990)(abstract only) all concern either PTR glasses and/or gratings formed in color center materials.

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Martin J. Angebranndt whose telephone number is 571-272-1378. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday and alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Huff can be reached on 571-272-1385. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1756

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197/(toll-free).

Martin J Angebranndt Primary Examiner Art Unit 1756

02/17/2006